

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE)	
COMMISSION,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION FILE
Plaintiff,)	NO. 1:06-CV01171-CC
)	
GEOFFREY A. GISH; WESTON)	
RUTLEDGE FINANCIAL SERVICES,)	
INC.; ZAMINDARI CAPITAL, LLC;)	
LEXINGTON INTERNATIONAL FUND,)	
LLC, a/k/a LEXINGTON)	
INTERNATIONAL FUND, INC.; AND)	
OXFORD ADAMS CAPITAL, LLC,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

NOTICE OF FILING

Counsel for Thomas S. Richey, as Receiver for Weston Rutledge Financial Services, Inc., Zamindari Capital, LLC, Lexington International Fund, LLC, a/k/a Lexington International Fund, Inc., and Oxford Adams Capital, LLC, hereby files the attached Fourth Report Regarding Receiver's Activities and Status of the Receivership Estate.

This 16th day of November 2007.

/s/ Stacey Godfrey Evans
Jennifer D. Odom
Georgia Bar No. 549717
jodom@pogolaw.com

Stacey Godfrey Evans
Georgia Bar No. 298555
sevans@pogolaw.com

POWELL GOLDSTEIN LLP
One Atlantic Center – Fourteenth Floor
1201 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
(404) 572-6600
Fax: (404) 572-6999

Attorneys for Thomas S. Richey,
Receiver for Weston Rutledge
Financial Services, Inc.,
Zamindari Capital, LLC,
Lexington International Fund, LLC,
a/k/a Lexington International Fund, Inc.,
and Oxford Adams Capital, LLC

**FOURTH REPORT REGARDING RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES
AND STATUS OF THE RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE**

Thomas S. Richey, as Receiver for Weston Rutledge Financial Services, Inc. (“Weston Rutledge”), Zamindari Capital, LLC (“Zamindari”), Lexington International Fund, LLC, a/k/a Lexington International Fund, Inc. (“Lexington”), and Oxford Adams Capital, LLC (“Oxford Adams”) (the “Receivership Companies”) (the “Receiver”), hereby files his Fourth Report Regarding Receiver’s Activities and Status of the Receivership Estate (“Fourth Report”).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION..... 1

RECEIVER’S ACTIVITIES 3

A. Investigative Work 3

B. Interviews and and Counsel Meetings 7

 Eugene McCord 7

 Counsel for Zahra Ghods; Rusa Cap., Inc.; and Unisource Cap., LLC..... 7

 Counsel to Priebe and Ruspoli 8

 Lewis Abbott..... 9

 Drew Abbott..... 9

 Karl Johnson and Majestic Capital Management..... 9

C. Efforts to collect the Receivership Companies’ Assets and Funds 10

 Review and Analysis of Accounting Records 10

 Claims against Geoffrey A. Gish; the Gish Bankruptcy 11

 Claims against Zahra Ghods and her Associates and Affiliates 13

 Litigation against Other Third-Parties Who Received Funds Supposedly to Invest on Behalf
 of the Receivership Companies..... 14

 Drew Abbott and Lewis Abbott 16

 Profiting investors 16

 Other 18

D. Investor and Creditor Claims and Communications 19

E. Summary of the Receiver’s Collections and Expenses 20

CONCLUSION 21

INTRODUCTION

1. On August 28, 2006, in his First Report Regarding Receiver's Activities and Status of the Receivership Estate ("First Report"), the Receiver set out the procedural background of this case as well as the initial findings of the financial condition of the Receivership Companies.

2. Based on the Receiver's administration and investigation to date, the Receivership Companies' liabilities are largely to investors who entrusted their funds to Geoffrey A. Gish and the Receivership Companies. Mr. Gish and the Receivership Companies received approximately \$29 million in funds from investors and paid out approximately \$11.6 million to investors. Only \$1,039,144.43 of the funds were remaining in the Receivership Companies' bank accounts on May 17, 2006 when the Receiver was appointed. There is approximately \$18 million of investor funds that were spent or are missing or lost. The Receiver is seeking to recover the missing funds for the benefit of investors and other creditors.

3. As detailed below, the Receiver, his counsel and the Receivership accountants are continuing their efforts to trace and locate the missing funds, identify and locate the persons and entities who received them and seek their return. The Receiver has made demands on persons and entities who are indebted

to the Receivership Estate, is in discussions with some of these persons and entities in an effort to recover funds without the expense and delay of litigation, but has filed suit against other persons and entities, as detailed below, and is preparing to file suit against still others if settlement discussions are not successful.

4. The Receiver continues to anticipate that, as is the case in most Ponzi scheme receiverships, it will take multiple years to recover funds through litigation with third parties in order to return any meaningful amount of money to investors.

5. As previously reported, based on information known to date, the Receiver does not expect to recover all the lost funds because, among other things, Defendant Gish received and spent over \$1 million of the funds on himself, another \$1.3 million in funds was used as operating expenses in running the Weston Rutledge offices, funds were transferred to third parties who lack the ability to repay them, and close to half of the missing funds were transferred to foreign banks. The Receiver is not yet in a position to predict the extent of recovery likely to be achieved.

6. This Fourth Report is intended to provide a summary of the activities and findings of the Receiver and his team to date. Some of the information contained in this Report is subject to change as additional information and

documents are received during the course of the litigation and the litigation with third parties. The Report covers the following areas:

- A. Investigative work
- B. Interviews and counsel meetings
- C. Efforts to collect the Receivership Companies' assets and funds
- D. Investor and creditor claims and communications
- E. Summary of the Receiver's collections and expenses

RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES

7. Since the time of the filing of the Third Report Regarding Receiver's Activities and Status of the Receivership Estate ("Third Report") on May 9, 2007, the Receiver and his counsel and accountants have engaged in the following activities:

A. Investigative Work.

8. The Receiver has continued to obtain documentary evidence through subpoenas issued in this case (Securities and Exchange Commission v. Gish, et al). These subpoenas have sought information regarding bank records and whereabouts for third parties who received funds from the Receivership Companies as well as records regarding the Receivership Companies contained in the records of those individuals and entities who received funds from the Receivership Companies.

9. Since his last Report, the Receiver has received documents from the following persons and entities pursuant to subpoenas that had already been served as reported in the previous three Receiver's Reports:

- (a) Cortona Construction, LLC
- (b) American Engineering Corporation
- (c) D.K. Wood, Jr.
- (d) Capital One Bank FSB
- (e) Wells Fargo Bank
- (f) Commerce Bank, N.A.
- (g) American Express Company

10. The Receiver received a response from Chase Bankcard LLC stating that it did not have documents responsive to the subpoena that the Receiver served on it on March 28, 2007. Though the Receiver previously served a subpoena upon HSBC, USA, N.A., he has yet to receive responsive documents or other communication in response.

11. The Receiver has served over twenty-five (25) subpoenas since the Third Report.

12. On July 27, 2007, the Receiver served a subpoena on Eugene McCord, who received funds from the Receivership Companies. Mr. McCord has provided some responsive documents, but the Receiver does not believe that Mr. McCord has provided all relevant documents in his possession, custody, or control. For example, Mr. McCord claims he cannot obtain his own bank records,

documents that are within his control, but which he refuses to request from his bank.

13. On August 2, 2007, the Receiver served subpoenas on AIM Mail Center and Corporations Services Corporation seeking information regarding the whereabouts of two parties who the Receiver has been unable to locate and who received funds from the Receivership Companies. The Receiver has received responsive documents to these subpoenas.

14. On August 10, 2007, the Receiver served a subpoena on Simon Gulkanian, who received funds belonging to the Receivership Companies via transfers from Zahra Ghods and/or her companies. The subpoena requested responsive documents on or before August 28, 2007. The Receiver has not received any responsive documents or other communication from Mr. Gulkanian.

15. In July and August of 2007, the Receiver served subpoenas on the following banks seeking information regarding several third parties who received funds from the Receivership Companies:

- (a) Wachovia Bank
- (b) Washington Mutual, Inc.
- (c) Wells Fargo Bank
- (d) Bank of America, N.A.
- (e) Bank of America, N.A., USA
- (f) American Express Company
- (g) Capital One Bank FSB
- (h) Bank of New York

- (i) Citibank Credit Card Issuance Trust
- (j) Citibank, N.A.
- (k) Chase Bankcard LLC
- (l) HSBC USA, N.A.
- (m) ING Capital LLC
- (n) Lloyds TSB Bank PLC
- (o) JP Morgan Chase & Company

16. The Receiver has received documents from Wachovia Bank; Washington Mutual, Inc.; Wells Fargo Bank; American Express Company; and Citibank, N.A. The Receiver has received letters from Bank of America, N.A.; Bank of America, N.A., USA; Capital One Bank FSB; Chase Bankcard LLC; HSBC USA, N.A.; and ING Capital LLC stating that they have no responsive documents. Responses from Bank of New York, Lloyds TSB Bank PLC, and JP Morgan Chase & Company are past due.

17. On August 21, 2007, the Receiver served subpoenas on the following banks seeking information regarding Zahra Ghods:

- (a) Wachovia Bank
- (b) Wells Fargo Bank
- (c) Industrial & Commercial Bank of China
- (d) Barclays Bank Delaware
- (e) Union Bank of California, N.A.
- (f) Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce
- (g) Royal Bank of Canada

18. The Receiver has received documents from Wells Fargo Bank; Wachovia Bank; and Union Bank of California, N.A. The Receiver has received

letters from Industrial & Commercial Bank of China, Barclays Bank Delaware, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, and Royal Bank of Canada stating that they have no responsive documents.

19. Further document subpoenas will be issued as the opportunities and needs arise.

B. Interviews and Counsel Meetings.

20. Eugene McCord. On July 3, 2007, the Receiver and his counsel interviewed Eugene McCord regarding the funds he received from and his knowledge of the Receivership Companies. Mr. McCord was introduced to Mr. Gish through James “Matt” Matthiesson. The Receiver has demanded that Mr. McCord return \$55,000.00 that he received from the Receivership Companies. Mr. McCord acknowledges receiving at least \$50,000.00, but denies knowledge that these funds came from the Receivership Companies, claims Mr. Gish gave him those funds directly, and claims that the funds were used for legitimate business purposes, though he has thus far failed and refused to provide any supporting evidence of any such legitimate business purpose. While the Receiver’s counsel has been in contact with Mr. Matthiesson, he remains incarcerated.

21. Counsel for Zahra Ghods; Rusa Cap., Inc.; and Unisource Cap., LLC.
The Receiver has also met with counsel for Ms. Ghods; Rusa Cap, Inc. (“Rusa

Cap”); and Unisource Cap, LLC (“Unisource”), the defendants named in the suit filed by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) against Ms. Ghods. The Receiver’s counsel presented Ms. Ghods’ counsel with a copy of the complaint in the lawsuit that the Receiver is prepared to file if the claims against Ms. Ghods and her companies and associates cannot be resolved. The Receiver and the SEC are currently in discussions with Ms. Ghods, through her counsel, but cannot comment further on those discussions at this time.

22. Counsel to Priebe and Ruspoli. On October 2, 2007, counsel for the Receiver, the SEC, and the United States Attorney’s Office met with counsel for Antonio Ruspoli and Jeff Priebe, two individuals who collectively received nearly \$5 million of funds belonging to the Receivership Companies via transfers from Zahra Ghods and/or her companies. Ms. Ghods testified in her deposition that Mr. Ruspoli and Mr. Priebe misappropriated the funds. According to their counsel, Mr. Ruspoli and Mr. Priebe do not deny receiving the funds. They claim that they received the funds to use for legitimate investment purposes, but have thus far failed and refused to provide any supporting evidence of any such investments or any other information regarding the disposition of the funds. The Receiver is prepared to file suit against them if no progress is made in resolving the matter without litigation.

23. Lewis Abbott. On October 29, 2007, the Receiver and his counsel met with Lewis Abbott and his counsel. The Receiver has made a demand to Lewis Abbott and his son, Drew Abbott, seeking the return of the \$423,956.84 they received as loan proceeds and profits on supposed investments in the Receivership Companies.

24. Drew Abbott. As previously reported, counsel for the Receiver took the deposition of Drew Abbott on April 26, 2007. During his deposition, Mr. Abbott acknowledged his liability on the \$385,082.11 in loans he obtained and other payments that he and his father received from the Receivership Companies. The Receiver is prepared to file suit against the Abbotts if no progress is made in resolving the matter without litigation.

25. Karl Johnson and Majestic Capital Management. As previously reported, counsel for the Receiver took the deposition of Karl Johnson on April 24, 2007. During his deposition, Mr. Johnson admitted that he owes \$579,000 to the Receivership Companies, but claims he does not have the funds to repay the amount at this time. He admitted that funds he received from the Receivership Companies were not kept in a segregated account, that he used some of the funds for his personal living expenses, and that he transferred some of the funds to third parties. On June 19, 2007, Mr. Johnson was found guilty on wire fraud charges

unrelated to the Receivership Companies in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. He is currently in the City of Anderson Jail in Anderson, SC.

26. The Receiver is currently scheduling other interviews with various witnesses; depositions will be deferred pending developments in Mr. Gish's bankruptcy and the other pending proceedings. See discussion below.

C. Efforts to collect the Receivership Companies' Assets and Funds.

27. Review and Analysis of Accounting Records. As previously explained, in order to seek the recovery of investors' funds, obtain the maximum return to investors and ensure a fair administration of investor claims, the Receiver and his team continue to work to confirm the amount of money that came into the Receivership Companies and from whom and how much went out and to whom.

28. Although much of their work is complete, the Receiver's accountants, GlassRatner Advisory and Capital Group LLC ("GlassRatner"), continue, as needed, to review and analyze the voluminous electronic accounting records from the Receivership Companies and banking records and other documents obtained from financial institutions pursuant to subpoena in order to analyze and determine who owes money to the Receivership Companies and how much is owed.

29. GlassRatner has worked to verify and provide supporting evidence of amounts received by profiting investors and other third parties and the Receiver has filed suit against a number of these individuals and entities. See discussion below. GlassRatner is continuing its work in this area as to individuals and entities whom the Receiver has not, as yet, sued.

30. Claims against Geoffrey A. Gish; the Gish Bankruptcy. As previously reported, the Receiver filed a Cross-Claim Against Geoffrey A. Gish seeking to recover \$18 million and alleging claims for breach of fiduciary duty; misappropriation, conversion, and fraudulent transfers of assets; gross negligence; negligence; piercing the veils of limited liability; indemnification; punitive damages; and attorneys' fees and expenses. Mr. Gish filed an answer on March 19, 2007, denying liability.

31. On June 15, 2007, a final consent judgment was entered against Geoffrey A. Gish in this action in favor of the SEC as part of Mr. Gish's settlement with the SEC. The judgment enjoins Mr. Gish from future violations of the antifraud provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, imposes a civil fine on him of \$120,000.00, and orders him to pay disgorgement to the Receivership Estate of \$1,258,836.92, together with \$41,276.81 in prejudgment interest.

32. As previously reported, on May 1, 2007, Mr. Gish filed a Chapter 7 personal bankruptcy proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Georgia (the “Bankruptcy Court”).

33. After Mr. Gish failed to appear for two creditors’ meetings and failed to file his mandatory bankruptcy schedules disclosing his assets and liabilities, the Court dismissed Mr. Gish’s bankruptcy petition on July 23, 2007.

34. On September 4, 2007, Geoffrey A. Gish filed a new personal bankruptcy petition in the Bankruptcy Court, this time for a Chapter 11 bankruptcy reorganization. As in the previous bankruptcy filing, this Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing stays the litigation of the Receiver’s cross-claim against Mr. Gish.

35. A meeting of creditors was held in Mr. Gish’s Chapter 11 bankruptcy case on October 11, 2007. Though Mr. Gish did attend, the meeting could not be completed because of Mr. Gish’s failure to file his financial schedules.

36. On October 23, 2007, Mr. Gish's Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing was converted to a Chapter 7 bankruptcy filing. A bankruptcy trustee, Neil C. Gordon, has been appointed. A meeting of creditors is scheduled for November 19, 2007. The Bankruptcy Court also ordered Mr. Gish to file a schedule of his current income and current expenditures on or before November 26, 2007 and to file a schedule of all unpaid debts incurred since he initially filed his Chapter 11 petition.

37. The bankruptcy proceeding currently stays the Receiver from litigating his cross-claim against Mr. Gish, as well as any collection efforts on the SEC's judgment of disgorgement, which, as noted above, is also payable to the Receivership Estate.

38. The Receiver will continue to participate in Mr. Gish's bankruptcy case in an effort to ensure that the Receivership Companies' claims are protected and pursued for the benefit of the Receivership Estate and investors. The Receiver is considering various options with respect to the determination and recovery on his claims against Mr. Gish.

39. Claims against Zahra Ghods and her Associates and Affiliates. As previously reported, the Receiver made a demand to Zahra Ghods and her companies for the return of in excess of \$10 million that she received to invest on behalf of the Receivership Companies, but in fact never invested the funds and, in any event, never provided the Receivership Companies with anything in return. On May 8, 2007, the SEC filed suit against Ms. Ghods and two of her companies, Rusa Cap., Inc. and, as a relief defendant, Unisource Cap., LLC. The suit is encaptioned SEC v. Zahra Ghods, et al., Civil Action No. 1:07-CV-1047-CC, United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. Among other things, the suit seeks a judgment for disgorgement of the funds that Ms. Ghods and

her companies received from the Receivership Companies and appointment of a receiver for Rusa Cap., Inc. The Receiver is cooperating and providing information and other support to the SEC in this suit.

40. As indicated above, the Receiver and the SEC are in discussions with counsel for Ms. Ghods and her companies, but the Receiver has prepared and presented his own complaint to counsel for Ms. Ghods, her associates, and her companies and is prepared to file suit against them if a resolution cannot be reached that is fair to the Receivership Companies.

41. In August and September of 2007, the Receiver also sent demand letters to nine (9) individuals and entities that received funds belonging to the Receivership Companies via transfers from Zahra Ghods and/or her companies. Several of the demand letters were returned as undeliverable because the recipients are overseas or they have moved.

42. As indicated above, the Receiver is communicating with two of these individuals, Antonio Ruspoli and Jeff Priebe. The Receiver is prepared to file a lawsuit against them if the parties cannot agree to a resolution that is fair to the Receivership Companies.

43. Litigation against Other Third-Parties Who Received Funds Supposedly to Invest on Behalf of the Receivership Companies. On October 11,

2007, the Receiver filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against fourteen (14) individuals and entities who collectively received approximately \$5 million from the Receivership Companies for supposed investments and who have failed to return the funds on demand. The case is encaptioned as Thomas S. Richey, Receiver for Weston Rutledge Financial Services, Inc., etc., v. Stephen Paul Arena, et al., Civil Action File No. 1:07-CV-2511-CC, United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. The complaint alleges eleven (11) individual counts, including fraudulent transfer, conversion, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, and unjust enrichment. The complaint also alleges that certain of the defendants participated in a conspiracy to defraud the investors of the Receivership Companies and seeks triple damages from those defendants pursuant to the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. The Receiver has also asserted claims under the federal securities laws as to certain defendants.

44. The Receiver has served process on most of the defendants and hopes to complete serving the remaining defendants shortly.

45. The Receiver is currently negotiating the terms of a consent judgment with one of the defendants in that suit. If agreement can be reached, the Receiver will request that the Court enter the judgment against that defendant.

46. Another defendant, Eugene McCord, filed an answer on October 30, 2007 as a *pro se* litigant on behalf of himself and his company, Moldova Georgia USA Business Center LLC. The other defendants, who have been served, have not yet filed answers.

47. Drew Abbott and Lewis Abbott. As previously reported, the Receiver made a demand to Drew and Lewis Abbott for the return of \$423,956.84 that they received as loan proceeds and as supposed returns on their supposed investments in the Receivership Companies. The Abbotts own an interest in the Cincinnati Days Inn Hotel and certain of the funds they received were used in that business. The Receiver continues to negotiate with the Abbotts, but is prepared to file a lawsuit against them if the parties cannot agree shortly on satisfactory terms of repayment and sufficient collateral to secure payment over time.

48. Profiting investors. Because the Receivership Companies were operated as a Ponzi scheme, with no appreciable income or profits earned and payments made to investors from funds received from other investors, those investors who profited by receiving back more than they invested are legally not entitled to retain their profits. As discussed in the prior Receiver's Reports, the Receiver has demanded the return of those profits. The Receiver has provided profiting investors with information regarding the basis for his claims and has

given them an opportunity to present any evidence they have to the contrary.

Where the profiting investors claim that they are unable to repay the funds immediately, the Receiver has requested sworn financial statements from the investor to document their claims of an inability to pay.

49. The Receiver has settled claims with two investors, who received funds in excess of their investments, recovering \$63,661.00 in cash. The Receiver has also reached tentative agreements, totaling approximately \$130,000 with three other profiting investors, one of whom has paid funds into escrow. These five settlements aggregate to nearly \$200,000 in recoveries that have either been received or are expected to be paid pursuant to collateralized promissory notes or with escrowed funds.

50. On November 2, 2007, after repeated demands and unsuccessful attempts to settle without litigation, the Receiver filed suit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against nine investors to recover over \$1.3 million received from their supposed investments with the Receivership Companies over and above their principal deposits. Thomas S. Richey, as Receiver of Weston Rutledge Financial Services, Inc., etc., v. Barry Beers, et al., Civil Action File No. 1:07-CV-2736 CC, United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. These investors are not legally entitled to retain their

profits, but failed or refused to return the money to the Receivership Estate so it could be used to make distributions to investors who have suffered loss.

51. The Receiver is currently negotiating settlement with eleven (11) other profiting investors, who were not named in the above litigation, to repay the profits they received, but is prepared to file suit against these profiting investors if he cannot reach a settlement agreement that is fair to the Receivership Companies.

52. Other. The Receiver has reached a settlement agreement with an individual who had possession of an automobile that was paid for using funds of the Receivership Companies. This settlement will bring a total of \$16,859.48 into the Receivership Estate by June 15, 2008.

53. On June 12 and 13, 2007, the Receiver held an auction to sell remaining furniture from the office of the Receivership Companies.

54. In addition to the foregoing, the Receiver and his counsel and accountants are engaged in other investigation and recovery efforts, including efforts to trace and locate funds on which it would be premature to report or where public disclosure of the efforts would potentially adversely impact the prospects of success.

D. Investor and Creditor Claims and Communications.

55. As previously reported, the bar date for investor and creditor claims has passed. The Receiver received 451 claim forms timely filed by approximately 330 investors representing claims for over \$18 million in losses.

56. The Receiver, with the assistance of his counsel and accountants, will continue to examine each of the claims and the supporting documents submitted, determine whether they have been prepared properly in accordance with instructions, compare the losses claimed with the information contained in the records of the Receivership Companies and decide whether the claims should be allowed and, if so, in what amount. If there are errors, discrepancies, or disagreements on the claims filed, the Receiver will attempt to resolve them through further analysis and, where needed, through discussions with the claimant.

57. Any investors whose claims are denied in whole or in part will be given notice and will have an opportunity to apply to the Court for a ruling on the validity or amount of their claims.

58. The Receiver continues to receive telephone calls and e-mails from investors and creditors, and he and his counsel have personally spoken with or corresponded by e-mail to over 40 inquiring investors, several on multiple occasions, since the Third Report.

59. The Receiver updates the Receivership website at www.westonrutledgereceiverships.com with court filings, news of developments and, from time to time, other information relevant to the investors. The Receiver has posted 12 items of news and developments on the website since his last Report.

E. Summary of the Receiver's Collections and Expenses.

60. The total bills that the Receiver has paid since the Third Report is \$490,744.84. This includes \$489,600.85 in professional fees and legal expenses sought in the Receiver's second fee petition and granted by the Court on July 12, 2007. Other expenses include \$1,143.99 for subpoena processing charges.

61. The Receiver expects to file his third fee petition for professional fees and expenses by the end of November for expenses incurred from April 1, 2007 through September 30, 2007.

62. The total amount collected by the Receiver in cash since the Third Report is \$65,555.50. This amount includes settlement payments from two profiting investors and proceeds from an auction of remaining furniture from the office of the Receivership Companies. The Receiver also has reached settlement agreements for over \$140,000 in funds owed to the Receivership Companies. These amounts are to be paid over the next year to the Receivership Companies.


63. The current cash on hand for the Receivership Companies is \$915,638.73.

CONCLUSION

64. All information stated above is based on the knowledge of the Receiver at this point in time and later developments and discoveries may cause the information reported herein to be outdated or incorrect.

65. The Receiver will continue to provide the Court with updates on developments and findings affecting the Receivership Companies.

Respectfully submitted, this 16th day of November 2007.



Thomas S. Richey,
as Receiver for Weston Rutledge Financial
Services, Inc., Zamindari Capital, LLC,
Lexington International Fund, LLC, a/k/a
Lexington International Fund, Inc., and
Oxford Adams Capital, LLC

::ODMA\PCDOCS\ATL\1228636\1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE)	
COMMISSION,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION FILE
Plaintiff,)	NO. 1:06-CV01171-CC
)	
GEOFFREY A. GISH; WESTON)	
RUTLEDGE FINANCIAL SERVICES,)	
INC.; ZAMINDARI CAPITAL, LLC;)	
LEXINGTON INTERNATIONAL FUND,)	
LLC, a/k/a LEXINGTON)	
INTERNATIONAL FUND, INC.; AND)	
OXFORD ADAMS CAPITAL, LLC,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing NOTICE OF FILING AND RECEIVER’S FOURTH REPORT REGARDING RECEIVER’S ACTIVITIES AND STATUS OF THE RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send email notification of such filing to the attorneys of record.

This 16th day of November 2007.

/s/ Stacey Godfrey Evans
Stacey Godfrey Evans